PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

A 1006 Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.						
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number	10/720,6	10/720,627	
			Filing Date	11/24/20	11/24/2003	
			First Named Inventor	Frank Ro	Frank Rosemann et al.	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Art Unit	3632	3632	
			Examiner Name	Wood, K	Wood, Kimberly T.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	0275M-0	00814	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal F	Transmittal Form Drawin		(s) After Allowance Co Technology Center		Allowance Communication to lology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		☐ Propri	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)		R€ Tr	Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in duplicate); and postcard.	
Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		•				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.0		Attorney Name Christopher M. Brock		eg. No. 7313	
Signature	Olimboyela Me Brock					
Date	April 14, 2006					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name Christopher N		A. Brock		Express Mail Label No.	EV 853 856 065 US (4/14/2006)	

Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## **PATENT**



plication No.:

10/720,627

Notice of Allowance Dated

01/18/2006

Filing Date:

11/24/2003

Applicants:

Frank Rosemann et al.

**Group Art Unit:** 

3632

Examiner:

Wood, Kimberly T.

Title:

Fastening Device For An Elongated Object In Particular

A Cable Tree

**Attorney Docket:** 

0275M-000814

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 18, 23, 24, 26-29 and 35-50 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that

patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 14, 2006

By: Wingpler Us Brack
Christopher M. Brock
Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600